

## REMARKS

This is a response to a final Office Action mailed November 2, 2007. Claims 5-7 have been amended. No claims have been added. No new matter has been added to the application. Claims 5-7 remain pending. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.111, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the application.

### CLAIM REJECTIONS UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 102(e)

The Examiner rejected claims 5-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,697,638 to Larsson et al (Larsson). Of the rejected claims, claims 5, 6 and 7 are each independent claims. Applicant respectfully traverses these Section 102 rejections.

#### Claim 5

Claim 5, as amended, recites sending confirmation of an authentication to a wireless network authority, ending communication between a personal mobile phone and an embedded phone, and, after ending the communication, opening a communication session with the wireless network based on the sent confirmation.

In contrast, Larsson, at, *e.g.*, FIGS. 1-3 and col. 3, lines 23-44 teaches that, in a basic system, at a step 300, a phone 100 and a vehicle-mounted part 160 ("car kit") come within range of each other. At step 310, the car kit 160 and phone discover each other using a low power communication scheme that is different than the communication scheme used by the cellular network. In this embodiment, that scheme is by Bluetooth. Step 310 makes at least one of the car kit 160 and/or the handheld phone 100 aware of one another. At step 320, the car kit and handheld phone exchange information. This exchange of information between the car and phone determines information which allows them to communicate. At step 330, the car kit and the phone, in communication with one another, carry out communication with the cellular system. In a preferred embodiment, the communication with the cellular system includes transceiver operations by the car kit. After the communication is finished, communication needs to revert. Step 340 shows a reversion, in which the car kit and the hand held phone lose communication with one another.



As such, Larsson teaches that during the time at which the tandem of phone 100 and kit 160 are in communication with the cellular system (*i.e.*, in a communication session with a wireless network), the phone 100 and kit are in communication with each other. Only at step 340, “after the communication is finished,” do the phone 100 and kit 160 end communication with each other. Consequently, Larsson fails to teach or suggest the limitations required by Applicant’s claim 1.

**Claims 6 and 7**

Claims 6 and 7 are patentable for reasons at least similar to those discussed above with reference to claim 5.



## CONCLUSIONS

Applicants assert that currently amended, pending claims 5-7 are in condition for allowance. A Notice of Allowance is therefore earnestly solicited.

If the Examiner has any questions, the Examiner is invited to contact the Applicant's attorney listed below. **If the Examiner disagrees with the positions advanced herein, the Applicant respectfully requests that the Examiner, prior to issuing an action rejecting any of the pending claims, contact the undersigned to arrange a telephonic discussion of the application.**

Respectfully submitted,

BLACK LOWE & GRAHAM<sup>PLLC</sup>

/P. G. Scott Born/

P. G. Scott Born  
Registration No. 40,523  
Direct Dial: 206.957.2491

